# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 7 901 NORTH FIFTH STREET KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION VII REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

10 MAY -6 AM 9:54

#### BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF	)	
Golden Triangle Energy, LLC 15053 Highway 111 Craig, Missouri 64437	) ) ) Docket No. CAA-07-2010-000	09
Respondent	Ś	

#### CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER

The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 (EPA) and Golden Triangle Energy, LLC (Respondent) have agreed to a settlement of this action before filing of a complaint, and thus this action is simultaneously commenced and concluded pursuant to Rules 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits (Consolidated Rules), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b), 22.18(b)(2).

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

#### Jurisdiction

1. This is an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties instituted pursuant to Section 113(d) of the CAA (CAA), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d). Pursuant to Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), the Administrator and the Attorney General jointly determined that this matter, where the first date of alleged violation occurred more than 12 months prior to the initiation of the administrative action, was appropriate for administrative penalty action.

2. This Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO) serves as notice that EPA has reason to believe that Respondent has violated the provisions governing Chemical Accident Prevention, and specifically the requirement to implement a Risk Management Plan as required by 40 C.F.R. Part 68 and Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), and that Respondent is therefore in violation of Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r). Furthermore, this CAFO serves as notice pursuant to Section 113(d)(2)(A) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d)(2)(A), of EPA's intent to issue an order assessing penalties for this violation.

#### **Parties**

- 3. The Complainant, by delegation from the Administrator of the EPA, and the Regional Administrator, EPA, Region 7, is the Director, Air & Waste Management Division, EPA, Region 7.
- 4. The Respondent is Golden Triangle Energy, LLC, located at 15053 Highway 111, Craig, Missouri 64437. The primary activity at Respondent's facility is the production of ethanol.

### Statutory and Regulatory Requirements

5. On November 15, 1990, the President signed into law the CAA Amendments of 1990. The Amendments added Section 112(r) to the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), which requires the Administrator of EPA to, among other things, promulgate regulations in order to prevent accidental releases of certain regulated substances. Section 112(r)(3), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(3) mandates the Administrator to promulgate a list of regulated substances, with threshold quantities, and defines the stationary sources that will be subject to the accident prevention regulations mandated by Section 112(r)(7). Specifically, Section 112(r)(7) requires

In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 3 of 13

the Administrator to promulgate regulations that address release prevention, detection and correction requirements for these listed regulated substances, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7).

- 6. On June 20, 1996, EPA promulgated a final rule known as the Risk Management Program, 40 C.F.R. Part 68, which implements Section 112(r)(7), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7), of the CAA. These regulations require owners and operators of stationary sources to develop and implement a Risk Management Program that includes a hazard assessment, a prevention program and an emergency response program.
- 7. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 68, set forth the requirements of a Risk Management Program that must be established at each stationary source. The Risk Management Program is described in a Risk Management Plan (RMP) that must be submitted to EPA.
- 8. Pursuant to Section 112(r)(7) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 68.150, the RMP must be submitted by an owner or operator of a stationary source that has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process no later than the latter of June 21, 1999; or the date on which a regulated substance is first present above the threshold quantity in a process.
- 9. Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), states that the Administrator may issue an administrative order against any person assessing a civil administrative penalty of up to \$25,000 per day of violation whenever, on the basis of any available information, the Administrator finds that such person has violated or is violating any requirement or prohibition of the CAA referenced therein, including Section 112(r)(7). Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, authorizes the United States assess civil administrative penalties of not more than \$27,500 per day for each violation that occurs after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004, and \$32,500 per day for

each violation that occurs after March 15, 2004. For each violation of Section 112(r) of the CAA that occurs after January 12, 2009, penalties of up to \$37,500 per day are now authorized.

#### Definitions

- 10. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 define "stationary source" in part, as any buildings, structures, equipment, installations or substance emitting stationary activities which belong to the same industrial group, which are located on one or more contiguous properties, which are under the control of the same person (or persons under common control) and from which an accidental release may occur.
- 11. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 define "threshold quantity" as the quantity specified for regulated substances pursuant to Section 112(r)(5) of the CAA, as amended, listed in 40 C.F.R. § 68.130, Table 1, and determined to be present at a stationary source as specified in 40 C.F.R. § 68.115.
- 12. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 define "regulated substance" as any substance listed pursuant to Section 112(r)(3) of the CAA, as amended, in 40 C.F.R. § 68.130.
- 13. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 define "process" as any activity involving a regulated substance including any use, storage, manufacturing, handling or on-site movement of such substances, or combination of these activities. For the purposes of this definition, any group of vessels that are interconnected, or separate vessels that are located such that a regulated substance could be involved in a potential release, shall be considered a single process.

# Alleged Violations

- 14. EPA alleges that Respondent has violated the CAA and federal regulations, promulgated pursuant to the CAA, as follows:
- 15. Respondent is, and at all times referred to herein, was a "person" as defined by Section 302(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).
- 16. Respondent's facility located at 15053 Highway 111, Craig, Missouri 64437, is a "stationary source" pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.3.
- 17. Anhydrous ammonia is a regulated substance pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.3. The threshold quantity for anhydrous ammonia, as listed in 40 C.F.R. § 68.130, Table 1, is 10,000 pounds.
- 18. On or about January 22, 2008, EPA conducted an inspection of Respondent's facility to determine compliance with Section 112(r) of the CAA and 40 C.F.R. Part 68.
- 19. Records collected during the inspection showed that Respondent has exceeded the threshold quantity for anhydrous ammonia. Respondent originally filed an RMP in August of 2002.
- 20. Respondent is subject to the requirements of Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42

  U.S.C. § 7412(r), and 40 C.F.R. Part 68, Subpart G, because it is an owner and operator of a stationary source that had more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process.
- 21. Respondent was required under Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), and 40 C.F.R. Part 68, to develop and implement a Risk Management Program that includes a hazard assessment, a prevention program and an emergency response program.

In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 6 of 13

- 22. Records collected during the inspection showed that Respondent failed to implement a Risk Management Program that complied with all the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 68, specifically:
  - (1) Respondent failed to establish a system to promptly address the team's findings and recommendations of the Process Hazard Analysis and resolve recommendations in a timely manner, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.67(e);
  - (2) Respondent failed to update their Process Hazard Analysis every five years, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.67(f);
  - (3) Respondent failed to provide in their written Operating Procedures documentation for the Consequences of Deviation and steps required to avoid deviation, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.69(a)(2)(i & ii);
  - (4) Respondent failed to review Operating Procedures to assure that they reflect current operating practices, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.69(c);
  - (5) Respondent failed to document inspection and testing on process equipment, including date of test, person who performed it, equipment serial number or I.D., description of the test(s) and result of the test(s), as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.73(d);
  - (6) Respondent failed to provide documentation of the Pre-Startup Review procedure, as required in 40 C.F.R. 68.77;
  - (7) Respondent failed to conduct and certify that they have evaluated compliance with the Program 3 Prevention Program at least every three years, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.79;

In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 7 of 13

- (8) Respondent failed to provide documentation that each contract employee has received and understood training required, the contractor's employee(s) I.D., and date of training, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.87(c)(3); and
- (9) Respondent failed to notify the RMP Reporting Center of their emergency contact change within one (1) month, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.160(b)(6) and 68.195(b); and
- (10) Respondent failed to submit their Risk Management Plan five (5) year update, due October 2007, as required by 40 C.F.R. 68.190(b)(1).
- 23. Respondent's failure to comply with 40 C.F.R. Part 68, as set forth above are all violations of Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r).

#### CONSENT AGREEMENT

- 24. Respondent and EPA agree to the terms of this CAFO and Respondent agrees to comply with the terms of the Final Order portion of this CAFO.
- 25. For purposes of this proceeding, Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth above, and agrees not to contest EPA's jurisdiction in this proceeding or any subsequent proceeding to enforce the terms of the Final Order portion of this CAFO.
  - Respondent neither admits nor denies the factual allegations set forth above.
- 27. Respondent waives its right to a judicial or administrative hearing on any issue of fact or law set forth above and its right to appeal the Final Order portion of this CAFO.
- 28. Respondent and EPA agree to conciliate this matter without the necessity of a formal hearing and to bear their respective costs and attorney's fees incurred as a result of this action.

in the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 8 of 13

- 29. This CAFO addresses all civil and administrative claims for the CAA violations identified above, existing through the effective date of this CAFO. Complainant reserves the right to take enforcement action with respect to any other violations of the CAA or other applicable law.
- 30. Respondent certifies by the signing of this CAFO that to the best of its knowledge, Respondent's facility is in compliance with all requirements of Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), and all regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 31. The effect of settlement described in paragraph 29 is conditional upon the accuracy of the Respondent's representations to EPA, as memorialized in paragraph 30, above, of this CAFO.
- 32. Respondent consents to the issuance of the Final Order hereinafter recited and consents to the payment of the civil penalty as set forth in the Final Order.
- 33. Respondent understands that the failure to pay any portion of the civil penalty assessed herein in accordance with the provisions of this order may result in commencement of a civil action in Federal District Court to recover the total penalty, together with interest at the applicable statutory rate.
- 34. The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter the terms and conditions of the CAFO and to legally bind Respondent to it.

#### FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to the provisions of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7401 *et seq*, and based upon the information set forth in this Consent Agreement, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Seventy-Nine Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty-Seven Dollars (\$79,367). The first payment of Thirty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred and Eighty Three dollars (\$39,683) shall be due within thirty days of entry of this Final Order. The second payment shall include a 1% annual interest assessment, for a total payment of Thirty-Nine Thousand Eight Hundred and Eighty-One Dollars (\$39,881), and shall be due within 210 days of entry of this Final Order. Payment shall be by cashier's or certified check made payable to the "United States Treasury" and shall be remitted to:

United State Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center Post Office Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000.

The payments shall reference docket number CAA-07-2010-0009.

2. Copies of the checks should be sent to:

Regional Hearing Clerk United States Environmental Protection Agency - Region 7 901 N. Fifth Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

and to:

Kristen Nazar Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection Agency - Region 7 901 N. Fifth Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101. In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 10 of 13

3. Respondent and Complainant shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of this matter.

In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 11 of 13

## COMPLAINANT:

U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Heavy Weber Becky Weber Director

Air and Waste Management Division

Date 4/15/2010

Ву Kristen Nazar

Assistant Regional Counsel

In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 12 of 13

> RESPONDENT: GOLDEN TRIANGLE ENERGY, LLC

APril 13 2010

In the Matter of Golden Triangle Energy, LLC Consent Agreement and Final Order CAA-07-2010-0009 Page 13 of 13

IT IS SO ORDERED. This Final Order shall become effective immediately.

Date May 6, 2010 By

By Karma Karina Borromeo

Regional Judicial Officer

# IN THE MATTER OF Golden Triangle Energy, LLC, Respondent Docket No. CAA-07-2010-0009

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Consent Agreement and Final Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristen Nazar Assistant Regional Counsel Region 7 United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Scott Young 6201 College Boulevard, Suite 500 Overland Park, KS 66211

Dated: 5/6/10

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7

Dunson